

# Academic Viva

## Example

Morphological suitability for endovascular repair, non-intervention rates, and operative mortality in women and men assessed for intact abdominal aortic aneurysm repair: systematic reviews with meta-analysis.

Lancet, 2017

Q1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?

Q2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?

Q3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?

Q4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?

Q5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?

Q6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?

Q7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?

Q8. 8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?

Q9. 9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?

Q10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?

Q11. Was the conflict of interest stated?

Q12. Will this paper influence your practice?